

Planning

# Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Rezoning of Lots 31 & 32 DP 8	Rezoning of Lots 31 & 32 DP 837448, Tara Downs, Lennox Head from RU1 to R2				
Proposal Summary :	Proposed rezoning of Lots 31 & 32 DP 837448, Tara Downs, Lennox Head from RU1 Primary Production to R2 Low Density Residential, to reflect the existing urban nature of the land and to consider an appropriate minimum lot size for the land.					
PP Number :	PP_2013_BALL1_003_00	Dop File No :	13/09313			
oposal Details				and an a		
Date Planning Proposal Received :	30-May-2013	LGA covered :	Ballina			
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Ballina Shire	e Council		
State Electorate :	BALLINA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	g Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning					
ocation Details						
	& 32 Tara Downs	Longon Hand	Destande :	2478		
	nnox Head City : s 31 & 32 DP 837448, 31 & 32 Tara	Lennox Head	Postcode :	2470		
	S 31 & 32 DF 037440, 31 & 32 1an	a Downs, Lennox fiead				
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Gina Davis					
Contact Number :	0267019687					
Contact Email :	gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a	au				
<b>RPA Contact Deta</b>	ils					
Contact Name :	Joanne Kay					
Contact Number :	0266861284					
Contact Email :	joannek@ballina.nsw.gov.au					
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details					
Contact Name :						
Contact Number :						
Contact Email :						
Land Release Dat	a					
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A			
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Far North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes			

		*:		
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	2	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	2	
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	The Department of Planning and Infrastructure's Code of Practice in relation to communications and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with to the best of the Region's knowledge. The Northern Region has not met with any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental Officers and lobbyists concerning the proposal. Due to the need to undertake further studies relating to land constraints prior to exhibition,			
	Due to the need to undertake fu the exact number of lots and mi stage. Given the surrounding la minimum of two additional lots	nimum lot size cannot be a nduse and size of the subje	ccurately determined at this ct lots, it is expected that a	
	The RPA staff have indicated the Planning Proposal. The Plannin Coast Regional Strategy) and co Council using its plan making d	ng Proposal however is sup onsidered low impact. There	ported by a strategy (Far North e appear no impediments to	
External Supporting Notes :				
Adequacy Assessmen	t	A CARDON AND	And the state of the	
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	-	under Ballina LEP 2012 to	s 31 & 31 DP 837448, Tara Downs, reflect the existing urban nature of ze for the land.	
	The objectives and intended	outcomes of the Planning F	Proposal are adequately expressed	
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)			
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes			
Comment :		s adequately addresses the	intended changes to the subject	

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 26—Littoral Rainforests SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

#### Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Each lot is listed as bushfire prone land, encumbered by a drainage channel and located within a high risk mosquito management area. Lot 32 is also within the 100m buffer for an adjoining SEPP 26 littoral rainforest. For these reasons, the subdivision potential of the land and the associated minimum lot size will be determined following submission of further technical details. These studies will be required prior to public exhibition of the proposal.

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Given the nature of the proposed amendment and the existing surrounding landuse, the Planning Proposal is considered to be of a low impact nature and a 14 day consultation period is considered appropriate.

#### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment : The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by:

1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;

2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes;

3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;

- 4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and
- 5. Providing a project timeline.

Council is not seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the rezoning is however supported by a strategy (Far North Coast Regional Strategy) endorsed by the Minister in January 2009, and is considered low impact, there appear to be no impediments to Council using its plan making delegation for this proposal.

The RPA has provided a project timeline. The 9 month timeframe for completion of the proposal is considered to be satisfactory.

### **Proposal Assessment**

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation Ballina LEP 2012 was notified on 4 February 2013 to Principal LEP :

### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal : The proposal is needed to amend the zoning for the subject lots to accurately reflect the existing residential nature of the land. The rezoning of the land to R2 Low Density residential is consistent with surounding landuse to the North, West and South-West. The land is also located within the a Town and Village Growth Area as identified in the Far North Coast Regional Strategy.

The Far North Coast Regional Strategy (FNCRS) identifies the land as being within the Consistency with existing urban footprint. The subject land is shown on the Town and Village Growth strategic planning Boundaries Map for Ballina (see attachment). The proposed rezoning and potential framework : residential development comply with the strategy's aims of creating greater housing choice and affordability. The land is subject to the provisions of Ballina LEP 2012. The rezoning was initially considered during the preparation of the Ballina LEP 2012, however timing and some complexities regarding land constraints and potential minimum lot size meant that Council preferred to consider the application as a stand alone proposal following gazettal of the LEP. The application of a R2 Low Density residential zone to the subject land is consistent with the objectives of the zone within the Ballina LEP 2012. Whilst the Ballina Shire Growth Management Strategy (GMS) 2012 does not recognise the subject land as being within a strategic urban growth area, it does identify it as being within the existing urban foortprint of Lennox Head. The Planning Proposal is considered to be generally consistent with this strategy. The Ballina GMS 2012 was approved by the Director General in May 2013. The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable SEPPs and the recommendations of the FNCRS approved by the Minister in January 2009. The Planning Proposal has been identified as being consistent with all applicable Section 117 Directions, except in relation to 1.2 Rural Zones and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection as discussed below. Section 117 Direction 1.2 Rural Zones. This Direction applies when a LEP aims to rezone land from a rural to a residential, business, industrial, village or tourist zone or contains provisions that will increase the permissible density of the land within the rural zone. As the Planning Proposal seeks to rezone the land to residential and reduce the minimum lot size, the Direction is applicable. The inconsistency with this direction is considered to be justified as it is in accordance with the FNCRS. The Planning proposal is considered to be inconsistent but justified as a matter of minor significance due to its proximity to existing residential zoned lands, the strategic framework that clearly identifies the land within the urban footprint and the small size of the subject land (.5ha). The land currently adjoins the urban zone of the Ballina Township and is generally surrounded by residential landuse. The Planning Proposal therefore does not conflict with the objective of this Direction to protect the agricultural production value of rural land as no land currently being used for agriculture will be alienated. Section 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection The subject lots are identified as being bushfire prone land. The Planning Proposal is considered to be inconsistent with this Direction as it does not contain all the required provisions as specified by the Direction and as the RPA has not yet consulted with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service. It is anticipated that the Planning Proposal will be consistent with this Direction after post Gateway Determination consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service has been undertaken. The Planning Proposal considers the environmental, social and economic impacts Environmental social associated with the amendment and identifies no specific adverse impact of the proposal economic impacts : subject to the application for an appropriate minimum lot size. The proposed minimum lot

exhibition.

size will be determined after the completion of further technical studies, but prior to

### Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	NSW Rural Fire Se	ervice		
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional s	studies, if required. :			
Flora Bushfire If Other, provide reasor	าร :			
slope stability sensitive vegetation bushfire hazard drainage mosquitoes				
Identify any internal co	nsultations, if required	:		
No internal consultation	on required			
Is the provision and fur	iding of state infrastru	cture relevar	nt to this plan? <b>No</b>	

If Yes, reasons :

### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public	
Tara Downs_Lennox Head_Ballina_2013-05 - Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	No	
Tara Downs_Lennox Head_Locality Map.pdf	Мар	No	
Tara Downs_Lennox Head_Ballina_PP cover letter_300513.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	No	
FNCRS_T&VGB Map Ballina.pdf	Мар	No	

### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

1.2 Rural Zones
1.5 Rural Lands
2.3 Heritage Conservation
3.1 Residential Zones

IC.	3.3 Home Occupations		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport		
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils		
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection		
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies		
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements		
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes		
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions		
Additional Information	It is recommended that:		
	1. The Planning Proposal be supported;		
	2. That the Director General's delegate agree that the inconsistency with Section 117		
	Directions 1.2 Rural Zones and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection is justified as		
	consistent with the Far North Coast Regional Strategy;		
	<ol><li>That consultation be undertaken with the NSW Rural Fire Service regarding the issue of Bushfire Prone land;</li></ol>		
	4. Prior to undertaking public exhibition, further studies detailing potential constraints		
	relating to sensitive vegetation and bushfire hazard, be prepared to support the Planning		
	Proposal, in view of the site's proximity to SEPP 26 Littoral Rainforest areas;		
	5. That the Planning Proposal be considered as low impact and exhibited for a period of 14 days; and		
	6. The Planning Proposal should be completed within 9 months;		
	<ol><li>That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council (Council has formally accepted its plan making delegations); and</li></ol>		
	9. Council is to update the project timeline within the Planning Proposal to reflect the date of Gateway Determination (if approved) and the issuing of an authorisation to Council to exercise its plan making delegations in relation to the Planning Proposal.		
Supporting Reasons	The Planning Proposal is in keeping with the recommendations of the Far North Coast Regional Strategy and surrounding landuse.		
Signature:	XZ		

Rectingen